

Java Community Process Executive Committees Meeting

Meeting Summary

July 27 & 28, 2004
Toronto, Canada

Attendance

JCP PMO - Harold Ogle, Onno Kluyt, Aaron Williams

ME EC

Ericsson Mobile Platforms - Angana Ghosh

IBM - Jim Mickelson

Insignia - Peter Bernard

Intel - Wayne Carr

Matsushita - John Buford

Motorola - James Warden

Nokia - Jon Bostrom

Philips - Jon Piesing

RIM - Anthony Scian, Nobuhisa Yoda

Siemens - Birgit Kreller

Sony - not present

Sony-Ericsson - Hanz Hagar

Sun - Tim Lindholm

Symbian - Liz Laffan

TI - Marion Lineberry

Vodafone - not present

SE/EE EC

Apache - Geir Magnusson

Apple - Dave Michael

BEA - Ed Cobb

Borland - Axel Kratel

Fujitsu - Mike DeNicola

HP - Scott Jameson

IBM - Steve Wolfe (2nd day), Mark Thomas

IONA - Rebecca Bergersen

Doug Lea - present

Macromedia - not present

Nokia Networks - Jon Bostrom

JCP Confidential

Oracle – Don Deutsch
SAP – Michael Bechauf
SCO – *not present*
Sun – Graham Hamilton

Agenda

- * PMO Report
- * JavaOne Recap
- * Purple JSRs
- * JCP.next

PMO Report

The PMO presented the usual stats and information to the EC Members. EC Members were told that one EC seat had been resigned. Richard Monson-Haefel resigned out of a conflict of interest with a new job he recently took. His seat will be filled in the fall when we do the yearly elections. EC Members were also warned who was up for election in the elections this fall.

The PMO also asked EC Members about the press events that the PMO has been setting up. These events serve an important purpose because there is still a lot of confusion in the community about some of the more basic issues – like open source participation and the changes that were made with 2.6. EC Members were asked to provide more input into the process of Our press events, and to participate and help the community spread the word about the work that is going on in the community.

The PMO also reminded the ECs that planning for 2005 would be starting soon. The PMO is looking for events that EC Members are going to be participating in, so that we can leverage their participation to get the word out about the JCP community. A preliminary schedule for the EC meetings for next year along with any outreach plans would be presented to the ECs in the next few months.

JavaOne Recap

The PMO presented some of the results from JavaOne, including the events that were staged by the PMO. All-in-all, it was a successful event for the ECs, including a very well attended press event. EC Members were also given the chance to provide feedback to the PMO regarding the events, and to Sun regarding the event itself.

Purple JSRs

Continuing the conversation that was started several months ago, the PMO again presented its proposal to the ECs regarding the issues

around purple JSRs. BEA and IBM also created a counter proposal and that was presented and discussed. After each proposal had been presented, they were compared and several EC Members tried to help find common ground between the two proposals.

In the end, the PMO agreed to continue working with BEA and IBM to find a common solution. It is not clear that one will be found, but it was agreed that it was worth spending more time on.

JCP.next

Each of the EC Members that had submitted input to the JCP.next discussion presented their ideas. Each of the ideas was discussed, and EC Members were encouraged to provide their perspective on the ideas. The PMO asked for the ECs guidance on the proposals and asked EC Members if they felt each proposal was something they would say was worth committing their time to. The PMO agreed to follow-up on these proposals before the next EC Meeting, and put forth a proposal on how to proceed with the most important proposals.

The ECs also agreed that the issue of Open Source JSRs deserved an ad hoc group to ensure there were no remaining hurdles. Some EC Members felt that most of the Open Source issues had been solved with the update to JCP 2.5, but others felt there were still more issues that needed to be identified and discussed. Apache agreed to chair the ad hoc group, and the PMO agreed to administer the group.