Group walked through the referenced slides. The following points were made:

- The group spent considerable time discussing proposed annotations for exposing and passing properties to batch applications. It was a good discussion, but the group was unable to fully come to terms with how to model these concepts in an annotation-based approach. The following opinions were expressed:
  - Michael - the proposed annotations are "painful".
  - Tim - like the concept, but prefers more concise syntax.
  - Wayne - @Property annotations shows weakness of annotation approach.
  - Mahesh - maybe EJB3 @Resource approach with xml override is useful model.
  - Chris - well, maybe this discussion reveals limitation of an all-annotation approach; perhaps xml is inevitable.
  - Kevin, annotations could still be used, but need not be this cumbersome.

- Wayne briefly described the Parameter Builder approach of Spring Batch. The idea of providing code that deals with building up a batch job's properties is an interesting idea and requires further development in the context of this specification.

- The need for typed parameters/properties (not just strings) was mentioned. (Editor: sorry, I did not capture the source).

- The group then started a discussion of launching. We identified API, command line, and webui as potential source of job launches. The group discussed the concept of a programmatic job launcher as an integral part of a batch application - as is done with Spring Batch. We juxtaposed this against the WebSphere batch xJCL job submission approach. Both models have
advantages. We need more time on this topic.

- Lastly, we continued the discussion from Feb 22 about whether to combine or separate launch/operator/explorer operations into distinct interfaces, as is done with Spring Batch. The group concluded launch is logically separate from operator/explorer functions, which are related to the job repository. We saw no compelling reason to separate operator/explorer functions.

- The group meets again on Wednesday, 29 February 2012. The main topic of discussion will continue the launcher discussion and then move on to listeners and concurrency.
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